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**WHAT IS KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION (KT)?**

After new knowledge becomes available, there can be quite a time lag before it can be put into practice or inform policy. The field of Knowledge Translation (KT) has emerged as part of the response to reducing this time gap.

KT involves relationships between end-users and producers of knowledge. In practical terms:

> Knowledge translation describes the process of changing what we do to match what we know - it is fact-based decision making, where the “facts” are best available evidence.

KT also includes the study of this process, because using new knowledge to change practice can itself create new knowledge. This includes learning about how to plan, disseminate and use evidence in certain contexts, and how to measure, monitor, and maintain changes.

**KT AND THE I2I-4-TELEHEALTH GUIDE**

The I2I approach was originally developed by the Mental Health Commission of Canada. It is a 7-step guide to plan, implement and document change in health settings using KT activities. The approach has been adapted for Australian settings and used in dementia and aged care (I2I-A). This version of the guide – I2I-4-Telehealth – builds on the Australian adaptation to support telehealth implementation and has been developed by the Centre of Research Excellence in Telehealth, The University of Queensland, Australia. The I2I approach is built around the concept of innovation: products, actions, services or relationships that can potentially enhance health outcomes.

The I2I guide and this Australian adaptation I2I-4-Telehealth are informed by research findings and practical experience indicating that a wider range of practices, participants and knowledge types need to be incorporated into KT activities. This guide is not intended to replace KT frameworks such as PARiHS or the Knowledge-to-Action Model. Rather, this guide can facilitate the application of these frameworks with an action-oriented planning tool.

As a practical goal-oriented guide, the I2I-4-Telehealth highlights the importance of bringing a wide range of participants to the planning table. This is not an academic or theoretical guide.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Learning through:  
- Research, i.e. Scientific  
- Experience, i.e. Experiential  
- Action, i.e. Pragmatic  
- Being, i.e. Cultural | Products, actions, services or relationships that have the potential to enhance health outcomes | The act of bringing a practice or a policy into effect |
document. It respects diversity and uniqueness, and emphasises the value, creation and contributions of different types of expertise (knowledge).

THE GUIDE STRUCTURE – AND HOW TO USE IT

There are 7 main steps in the I2I-4-Telehealth guide:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>State the purpose of this KT plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>Select the innovation around which the KT plan will be built</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkpoint</td>
<td>Check KT readiness for telehealth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>Specify the people and actions: who needs to do what differently?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>Identify the best agents of change: who should be delivering knowledge about this innovation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>Design the KT plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 6</td>
<td>Implement the KT plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 7</td>
<td>Evaluate the success</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The I2I-4-Telehealth guide will explain the purpose of each step, and walk you through a series of guided questions to help you complete the step.

These steps are intended to be undertaken in the order listed, in an activity cycle, with each potentially involving some degree of monitoring, evaluation and documentation.

You’ll notice that designing the actual KT plan does not come until step 5! There is a lot of planning in successful KT initiatives involving telehealth. This guide provides helpful tips, with space for you to make notes about your own work and action cycle.

This Guide includes ADDITIONAL TOPICS with information about:

- Readiness for change
- Disseminating new knowledge

By the time the last step is completed, you will have implemented and evaluated a sophisticated KT plan. And potentially created and documented new knowledge!
State the purpose of your KT plan

It is important to begin a KT planning process by describing the goal you would like to achieve. What is the main reason for doing KT and what would success look like? To help formulate a clear goal, consider these key questions:

KEY QUESTIONS

1.1. What is the main issue this KT plan is trying to address? [See Box 1.]
1.2. What is the practice you are hoping to improve or introduce?
1.3. What will be different when this new knowledge is translated?
1.4. What will “success” look like and how would it be measured as KT?

Read Step 7 about Evaluation before you begin your KT process. It will help you to think about and potentially identify KT outcome measures from the start.

EXAMPLES

1A. Clients with Parkinson’s disease will have access to regular check-up sessions via telehealth with a speech pathologist.
1B. Children recently diagnosed with diabetes will have timely medical care via telehealth.
1C. Residents of aged care facilities will have access to telehealth consultations with a geriatrician.
1D. Children in rural areas with delayed development will have improved access to multidisciplinary therapy via telehealth.

Box 1. Reasons for KT Plan

Most KT plans in telehealth will be prompted by:

- **a problem**
  e.g. inequity of access to services
- **an opportunity**
  e.g. new technology, new funding models
- **a requirement**
  e.g. mandated rollout of telehealth services

New knowledge includes expertise and experiences shared by colleagues or clients. You will explore these more fully at Step 2.
This first step – defining the reason for the KT plan and the end goal – focuses on the reasons for change (what you hope to achieve with telehealth), not the method for enacting the change. A clear goal that can be communicated in simple words helps facilitate engagement and buy-in for the change.

It’s best to avoid leaping to a particular KT method (selection of KT methods occurs at a later step in this guide). For example, we will install a VC system in each facility” is a not-so-helpful purpose or goal as it is narrowly focused on the what/how (method), rather than the goal (outcome/success).

YOUR NOTES FOR STEP 1: State the purpose of your KT plan
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________________
2 Select an innovation

Select a telehealth innovation that has the potential to enhance health outcomes. Sometimes these outcomes are about better processes as well.

? KEY QUESTIONS

Consider what might be an appropriate telehealth innovation by asking (yourself and others):

2.1. Is the innovation specific enough?
By clearly stating the knowledge and actions that make up the innovation, you’re more likely to create an effective KT plan. It can be very difficult to achieve wide uptake of a vaguely explained practice change.

2.2. Is the innovation feasible?
Choose an innovation that can be realistically implemented to support your purpose (Step 1), given available financial, human and organisational resources. There is little advantage in focusing KT efforts on promoting a change that is so demanding or incompatible with current practice that few would actually implement it.

2.3. What is the knowledge base for this innovation?
Evidence for an innovation can be based on a variety of knowledge sources: scientific, experiential, pragmatic, and cultural. Consider selecting team members who can contribute different types of knowledge expertise [see Box 2].

Box 2. Knowledge types

- **Scientific**  
  (learning through research): A systematic review points to a new practice as good as, or better than current care, or a series of qualitative studies highlights benefits from new policy.

- **Experiential**  
  (learning through experience): A model of care such as telehealth may be endorsed by health professionals based on their own positive experiences.

- **Pragmatic**  
  (learning through action): Health professionals recognise the benefits of using videoconferencing to include remote family members in consultations.

- **Cultural**  
  (learning through being/living): In certain cultural contexts, KT takes the shape of stories or teachings, including case studies, and personal or organisational histories e.g., compelling stories are often used by policy makers to communicate vision.
EXAMPLES

An innovation might involve:

2A. Videoconferencing being used for monthly check-up sessions between clients with Parkinson’s disease in their home and their speech pathologist.

2B. Children with diabetes who are starting insulin having their blood glucose levels monitored remotely on a daily basis by a diabetic clinic.

2C. A geriatrician consulting via videoconference with a resident in an aged care facility while accessing the resident’s health information previously uploaded by nursing staff to a web portal.

2D. Children and their families in a rural community receiving therapy services from an occupational therapist and psychologist in a capital city via videoconferencing.

Helpful Tips

- Examine the proposed telehealth innovation from several knowledge perspectives and expertise, and potential meaning for a range of audiences, for example:
  - If based on international scientific research in telehealth, consider also how it maps onto the Australian healthcare context
  - If based on the clinical telehealth experience of Australian healthcare providers, examine whether it is consistent with available research evidence or consumer perspectives on telehealth.

- Remember that an innovation can also involve stopping certain practices, such as reducing travel by families to consultations with a healthcare professional.

- Check that your selected innovation supports the purpose for setting up your KT plan (Step 1).

  Note: Some innovations are more “KT ready” than others.
Is this telehealth innovation “KT ready”?

The decision about whether new knowledge is ready for translation will be necessarily specific for each KT plan and context. In part, the decision about “KT ready” will reflect:

- The quality of evidence for the telehealth innovation you are considering.
- Balancing potential risk and benefit (do the benefits of using telehealth outweigh the potential costs?).
- The required technology infrastructure is available for the telehealth innovation to be implemented.

Evidence quality

Quality of evidence can be measured in many ways. Some key concepts for KT planning are:

- Source – where does the new knowledge come from and is it credible?
- Design – in the case of research evidence, how good is the science and is there bias?
- Reproducibility – is the evidence a ‘one off’ or has it (or a component) been replicated?

The Australian National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) has a hierarchical guide to assessing evidence quality. Generally speaking, an innovation based on evidence synthesis (i.e. a recent systematic review of many studies) and/or corroboration (e.g. scientific data plus expert recommendation or local record audit), is preferred to a “single study” innovation. Roll-out of KT plans based on one study or case report should seriously consider risk and benefit issues.

Risk and benefit

The field of innovation tends to be, by nature, connected with risk. Some major risk types are:

- Risk of harm – an innovation is implemented but
  (a) has adverse effects greater than expected or different to ‘best evidence’, or
  (b) desired outcomes are found be a poor fit with context.

- Risk of doing nothing – this type of risk is a focus of the “KT time lag”.

- Risk of poor implementation – the innovation is sound but the application to a specific context is problematic e.g. poor connectivity. Insufficient resourcing can be a major culprit for this sort of risk type and underscores the value of rigorous KT planning.

- Risk to the organisation – from a management perspective, an innovation that provides modest clinical gain at substantial financial cost or negative staff impact (e.g. client and clinician dissatisfaction, staff absenteeism and turnover) may not be a worthwhile return on investment. A KT plan that includes organisational risk may need to provide a business case to relevant management.
Business case/funding model

A realistic business case and appropriate funding model for telehealth services should be outlined prior to KT planning so that the implementation of the innovation can occur and be sustainable over time.

Key questions in determining “KT readiness” for telehealth include:
- What are the current sources of funding for telehealth services?
- What is the willingness to pay for telehealth?
- What are the additional costs in setting up and maintaining a telehealth service?
- Are current service volumes sufficient for viability of a telehealth service?
- What other telehealth services can be introduced?

Technology infrastructure

Technology infrastructure to deliver services by telehealth is crucial to the implementation and success of such services.

Key questions in determining “KT readiness” for telehealth include:
- Is there access to Internet in the home or facility?
- What is the quality and capacity of the network?
- Is there a process for setting up the technology for consumer and clinician use?
- Is the technology ‘fit for purpose’?
- Is the environment conducive to telehealth?
Specify key players and actions

If a telehealth innovation is to be taken up by your organisation or community, then it is likely that it will impact numerous stakeholders (KT players or ‘actors’). For example, they may need to adopt new behaviours (actions).

Step 3 helps you recognise the people with a stake in the innovation and the actions required of them. Thinking ahead about these people will put you in a stronger position to plan your KT activities: you will know who you are presenting the innovation to and consulting with, and will be clearer about actions to be adopted.

**KEY QUESTIONS**

3.1. Who are the key people (players or ‘actors’)?

3.2. Which actions would these key people need to adopt?

Box 3 lists possible key players who are often involved in telehealth KT and need to be consulted.

### Box 3. Possible key people/agencies

- Person accessing health service
- Caregiver/family
- You
- Health professional
- Professional service provider
- Administrative support team/services
- Technology provider and support staff
- Other care providers
- Community organisations
- Peak body in health service area
- Government agency
- Research personnel
- Intern/trainee/student
- Policy maker
- Accreditation/care consultant
- Funder of the innovation
- Management team/team leaders
- Associated funding bodies
- Media/PR agencies
- Human resources personnel
### EXAMPLES

#### 3A Innovation: Medical specialist consultations via videoconference to patients in rural hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key players*</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Agree to participate in telehealth consultation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Medical Specialist | Complete induction to telehealth best practice  
|               | Conduct consult (plus routine notes and correspondence) |
| Telehealth coordinator | Select appropriate telehealth mode  
|               | Make appointment/liaise with rural hospital |
| Management team on both sites | Approve new model, and expenditure for equipment and support  
|               | Check infrastructure allows telehealth |
| Technical teams both sites | Install equipment |
| Accounting department | Prepare invoicing system |
| Rural hospital health professionals | Provide clinical support during consultation  
|               | Complete medical records at rural site  
|               | Assist with attendance to consult |
| Carer/family | Decide if want to attend |
| Rural hospital receptionist | Confirm appointment and welcome consumer |
| Pathology/radiologist rural site | Transfer of clinical data prior to or during consultation |

#### 3B Innovation: In home physiotherapy following knee surgery (large private practice)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key players*</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Physiotherapist | Complete induction to telehealth best practice  
|               | Work with practice manager to select most appropriate technology  
|               | Select and encourage appropriate clients for telehealth consultations  
|               | Conduct consultation, complete routine notes and correspondence |
| Practice manager | Approve new model and expenditure for equipment and support |
| Practice receptionist | Promote and book telehealth consultations  
|               | Prepare invoicing system |
| Technical team (could be contracted or physiotherapist) | Check infrastructure supports telehealth  
|               | Install equipment |
| Consumer | Agree to participate in telehealth consultation |
| Carer/Family | Assist with attendance to consult  
|               | Assist during consultation  
|               | Decide if want to attend |

*Examples in this table are not necessarily inclusive of all the key people who could be engaged – just a sample to showcase how you might approach this step.*
YOUR NOTES FOR STEP 3: Specify key players and actions
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- Mentally ‘walk through’ each of the steps and the actors involved in delivering the current/traditional model of healthcare.
- It is vital to include and consider the full range of possible key players for your KT activity – including the ‘admin’ or technical support.
- Include all key people – regardless of whether you believe they support the innovation.
- Remember that many of these people are ‘key’ when they are involved in conversations through which knowledge about your project is exchanged. These conversations may sometimes involve only fragments of knowledge – and your project team may need to think about how to ensure this information transmission is accurate, timely and helpful.
- **Checkpoint:** Do you have key players performing a ‘double role’ on your project? – use the additional space (over the page) to make some key notes at this early stage of your project planning.
YOUR NOTES FOR STEP 3: Specify key players and actions -
Checkpoint

Checkpoint: Do any of your key players have a ‘double role’ in your KT plan? These are people who might have responsibility for the telehealth implementation PLUS some other key aspect (e.g. clinical responsibility, new intervention content in addition to new delivery modality).
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4 Identify agents of change

An agent of change is someone who motivates key players [Step 3] to adopt new actions. Agents of change for a telehealth innovation include individuals who can effectively communicate knowledge and foster action. The most appropriate agent of change will vary depending on the stakeholders they are influencing. Box 4 lists some examples.

KEY QUESTIONS

4.1. Which agents have the most credibility overall in relation to your innovation?
4.2. Which agents have the most credibility for particular players?
4.3. Which agents are most likely to persuade others to adopt new actions, or at least to “give it a try”?

EXAMPLES

4A. In Step 3, example 3A was an innovation involving medical specialist consultations via videoconferencing to patients in a rural hospital.

If one of the key players is the medical specialist conducting the consultation, then effective agents of change might include:
- a physician viewed to be an opinion leader by peers
- an experienced telehealth coordinator
- the rural hospital registered nurse who provides clinical support prior to, and during consultations and
- the rural hospital management team.

4B. In Step 3, example 3B is an innovation involving private in-home physiotherapy teleconsultations following knee surgery.

If one of the key players is the physiotherapist providing the treatment, then effective agents of change might include:
- the practice manager who sets up processes for telehealth
- a physiotherapist who wishes to engage in telehealth
- a client who has experienced telehealth and requests it and
- the receptionist promoting adoption of telehealth.
Aim to involve all agents of change in the KT process at some level – even if it is in an ‘advisory’ capacity or a ‘one-off’ information forum. KT agents in telehealth will often include:

- **Peer leaders**
  It is powerful when a peer with high credibility is a model and supporter of an innovation. It gives the message that if someone in their role is able to embrace this change, then others can too! It will be more effective if an early-adopting peer can also champion ongoing uptake (‘sustainability’). Supporting these people will be vital.

- **Organisational champions**
  Innovations are more likely to be acted upon when they are endorsed by an organisation of high credibility to the target participants, e.g. a respected authority in a specific healthcare area. Where possible, effective agents of change will establish a relationship of respect, engagement and support with the key people (actors) they seek to influence. The best KT occurs in good conversations and the best conversations occur in high quality relationships.

- **Consumer or end-user advocates**
  The consumer and end-user voice is important. As healthcare systems in Australia embrace consumer-directed care philosophies, a powerful change agent can be a representative for service recipients, their family caregivers and service deliverers.
YOUR NOTES FOR STEP 4. Identify agents of change
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Design the KT plan

You’re here! Many people – especially the practical ‘can do’ types – want to start at Step 5.

KT is most effective when it is carefully planned and has an active rather than passive quality. This is why it’s important to have the first four steps in place first.

KEY QUESTIONS

5.1. Which KT methods are available?
5.2. Which methods are most appropriate for the people who will adopt this innovation?
5.3. Which methods are proven to be most effective with these kinds of key people?
5.4. Will this KT project require ethics review and approval?

EXAMPLES

It is important to tailor (one or more) specific methods of KT to your setting and resources. The table below gives examples of KT methods that may be used in your plan via a range of key people (and maybe different time-points) – i.e., the people you identified at Step 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KT Methods</th>
<th>Description and notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meetings</td>
<td>Gathering key people in an interactive context to build capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>Explore: think-tank, stakeholder forum, webinar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Outreach</td>
<td>Brief engagement intended to change professional behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal for simple behaviour, e.g. initiating a videoconference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider academic detailing for senior experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Materials</td>
<td>Product to convey a key message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pamphlet, poster, web-page, newsletter, ‘logo product’, videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reminders/Prompts</td>
<td>Print, electronic, telephone or web-based messages to trigger action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can include app-based/software prompts in electronic records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMS texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Marketing Campaign/Media</td>
<td>Using marketing techniques to create/sustain behaviour change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A marketing expert may be a useful consultation for this method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumers can often readily participate and respond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit and Feedback</td>
<td>Performance summary over a period of time to inspire/sustain change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This can be suitable for group presentation in a staff meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use de-identified summaries, unless consent to do otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Briefings</td>
<td>Print and web-based materials to address knowledge and skill gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-jargon summaries of science prepared for ‘non-researchers’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrations</td>
<td>Live or recorded demonstrations of telehealth in action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Live demonstrations to organisations and staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YouTube videos of telehealth in action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Connect with Us’ – live experience</td>
<td>Opportunities for service providers and clients to experience telehealth first-hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short interactions with service providers and clients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box 5. Features of KT method success

- Interactive: Shared expertise is valued, develops comfort with new behaviours
- Tailored: Content specifically directed to fit the known need and flexible to feedback
- Engaging: Content delivery is concise, entertaining and persuasive
- Endorsed: Innovation endorsed by high credibility organisation/peer group
- Championed: Innovation embraced by a respected early-adopting peer
- Action-oriented: Content directly/practically translates to action, given real-life constraint
- Persuasive: Convincing messages regarding importance and feasibility of implementation
Some features for successful KT methods are listed in Box 5 (previous page).

‘Tailoring’ can alter ‘fidelity’ – consider an independent opinion to check how far an innovation can change before it stops being the intended innovation.

Organisations, systems or people may not be ready for your innovation, even if proven effective and feasible.

If readiness for change may be a factor in your KT plan, please read Additional Topic “Readiness for Change”
Implement the KT plan

You might choose to implement your KT plan all at once or gradually. Where there is low readiness to adopt the innovation, it may be best to use a phased implementation approach, i.e. gradually introduce the KT plan to different parts of the organisation, system or community.

Also, as you implement your plan, it is useful to get feedback about its perceived relevance, acceptability and feasibility (See Box 6). You can do this by consulting representatives of each type of key person [see Step 3], e.g. by interview, survey, focus group. Each person will have a unique perspective on appropriate methods and can provide feedback valuable for potentially revising the implementation of the KT plan. When choosing the types of key people (‘actors’) to involve in this consultation and feedback process, consider:

“... which experts possess technical knowledge about the subject, which decision makers can shed light on the issues related to the feasibility or acceptability of the policy, etc. The actors invited may come from the health sector, but they may also come from other sectors concerned by the issue ... and represent public, private or community perspectives.”

KEY QUESTIONS

6.1. Is the KT plan perceived as appropriate and acceptable by the relevant players?
6.2. Are there elements of the plan that are not seen as acceptable or appropriate?
6.3. Has the KT plan resulted in the innovation being perceived to be effective and important?
6.4. Has the KT plan resulted in the innovation being perceived to be feasible for an organisation, system or community?

EXAMPLES

6A. In Step 3, example 3A was an innovation involving medical specialist consultations via videoconferencing to patients in a rural hospital. This innovation may depend on the staff at the rural hospital supporting the specialist consultation being convinced that this innovation will not add to their workload. If they are reluctant to participate, then the KT plan may need to include a detailed flowchart outlining the process, the time required, workload adjustments, and workplace benefits.

6B. In Step 3, example 3B was an innovation involving private in-home physiotherapy follow-up after knee surgery. This innovation may depend on other physiotherapists in the practice being convinced that it is possible to conduct a consultation with a client without “hands on” capability. The KT plan may need to include additional training for physiotherapists alongside a physiotherapist experienced in telehealth.
• Based on the feedback from consultations, the KT methods can be modified to increase the likelihood of success. You might allow for a few rounds of testing and refinement of the methods, then retesting.
• This process of refinement (see Box 6) can be done fairly quickly and easily if you have existing relationships with key people who are willing to provide honest input.
• When planning the KT project timeline, factor in the time and resources needed for these refinement phases.
Evaluate success of the implemented KT plan

Many evaluation frameworks have been proposed. This guide applies the RE-AIM framework as it emphasises sustainable system-level changes. The five RE-AIM components are overviewed below, with key questions, examples of measurement and tips. It is possible to evaluate the implementation of the KT plan both before and after the innovation commences.

### REACH - To what extent has the KT activity engaged the key players (‘actors’)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example measures:</th>
<th>Tip: Establishing partnerships with organisational champions will greatly enhance reach.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Attendance at training events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Website traffic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of staff who have attended training in telehealth service delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of health consumers who have agreed to receive telehealth services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Variety of ‘key’ players engaged in telehealth activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EFFECTIVENESS - What has been the impact on the attitudes, knowledge and skills of KT participants?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example measures:</th>
<th>Tip: It is more informative to objectively measure increased skill or knowledge (e.g. quiz) than to ask for perceptions from KT participants. Self-ratings are often affected by “don’t know what you don’t know” or confidence.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Survey of staff attitudes, knowledge and skill before and after a KT activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Survey of key players’ readiness to sustain innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADOPTION - Have key people (‘actors’) adopted actions relating to the Innovation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example measures:</th>
<th>Tip: It is easy to get data on knowledge acquisition, attitudes and ‘intention to change’, but these are poor substitutes for measuring actual behaviour change.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Number of occasions of service conducted by telehealth for specific department/provider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Change in behaviour as a result of innovation – receptionist always offers telehealth in a practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Practice manager evaluated all options and selected and purchased viable technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IMPLEMENTATION - How well was KT carried out, including achieving specified targets and timelines? Did key people implement the innovation as planned?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example measures:</th>
<th>Tip: Providing cues, such as handouts that outline key steps to implement the innovation, may improve implementation by relevant key players.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well was KT carried out?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participant surveys on perceived acceptability and quality of KT activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation implementation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Key staff interviews on how well a telehealth model of care has been implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Record/file audit in healthcare facility/organisations to ascertain how well telehealth was delivered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of repeated uses of an individual service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Proportion of caseload receiving telehealth service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cost savings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MAINTENANCE - Was this innovation maintained over time, whether following a single KT intervention or in the context of ongoing support for the Innovation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example measures:</th>
<th>Tip: Reminders about an innovation, long after an initial KT intervention, are likely to enhance maintenance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Interview service providers about ongoing delivery of the new model of care using telehealth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monitor number of telehealth services over time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monitor consumer outcomes and satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
YOUR NOTES FOR STEP 7: Evaluate success of the implemented KT plan
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Helpful Tips

For free training and resources about how to use RE-AIM, visit www.re-aim.org
ADDITIONAL TOPICS

READINESS FOR CHANGE

Understanding whether the key players in your KT plan are ready for change is crucial to planning your KT project and its success. In doing so, it is important to distinguish between organisational and individual readiness for change.15

Organisational readiness

Organisational readiness refers to the extent to which members of the organisation are psychologically and behaviourally prepared to implement the change.16 Organisational characteristics that contribute to readiness include:

- A clear change vision and leadership
- A perceived need for change (e.g. internal or external pressures for change)
- Employee participation and voice in change management
- A knowledge management strategy and effective communication during change
- Trust in the change leaders and open discussion about change events
- Organisational support for employees, including training and development
- Adequate resourcing, including technological resources
- A positive culture that values organisational learning and adaptability
- Flexible organisational structures.

Individual readiness

Research on change readiness has looked at the individuals involved with or affected by a change, and in particular, the factors that might predict which people may show some resistance to organisational change. Below is a range of individual readiness factors that often arise during organisational change, along with suggestions for how each can be addressed:

- Appropriateness (e.g. “is this change appropriate for the organisation?”, “is it relevant for my group?”) – address through education, evidence and communication
- Personal valence (e.g. “what’s in it for me?”, “will this demand more of me?”) – address through communication, participation, involvement and negotiation
- Self-efficacy (e.g. “can I do this?”) and group-efficacy (e.g. “do we have the capability to achieve the change?”) – address through training, facilitation, support and small wins
- Perception of contextual factors, particularly management support (e.g. “this won’t work here – is management supporting it?”) – address through visible leadership, communication, support and resourcing, and small wins.

Can you measure ‘change readiness’?

Yes, there are validated measures for organisational readiness.16 These scales could be considered for a KT plan supported by a researcher as a key player, and/or a rich multi-factor data collection design and write-up.18,19
DISSEMINATING YOUR NEW KNOWLEDGE

Your new knowledge is what you have learned through the KT experience. Feedback to the stakeholders and participants is both vital and courteous. It could also affect the likelihood of follow-up projects. Feedback can be a purpose-specific communication, or could be a personal information provision of feedback prepared for another forum (e.g. a copy of a journal publication or newsletter article).

Some ideas –

**Local outlets**
- Newsletter: if the project has not set up a newsletter as part of the regular communications plan (this is possible for some types of projects), then consider drafting a piece for a relevant stakeholder bulletin
- Stakeholder or consumer forum to share results
- Popular media, including community newspapers
- Social media
- White Papers (can be shared online via a website or through social media)
- Presentations (formal and informal) to key stakeholder groups.

**Peer-reviewed outlets**

The concept of “peer-review” means that the write-up of your KT experience and outcomes has been assessed by experts and peers in the field. Typically this process is blind (i.e. you do not know the identity of the reviewers) and in some cases (e.g. a journal article) you will have an opportunity to consider the feedback and revise your report in response.

- Conferences: These include scientific symposia (attended by researchers) and professional meetings for continuing education (attended by clinicians)
- Publications: Many journals will consider a well-written case study. For speed of turnaround consider an open access online journal (some do charge a fee, however).
It is a good idea to discuss authorship of publications early in a KT plan. Obtain legal advice for matters relating to product patents and intellectual property.

- Dissemination method is not an ‘either/or’ choice – to reach different audiences the take-home message from your KT project may need to use several methods.
- If planning a peer-reviewed publication, check whether there are rules about how much public dissemination of your results can occur before submitting your manuscript – e.g., some journals will consider access to the findings on a website as pre-publication of data.
- Many journals will require a statement about the ethical review of a project. As a foresight to this issue, check EARLY in your KT planning what the possible ethical issues may be and which governance body provides oversight and approval.
- In disseminating the results and experience of your KT activity, remember that hindsight is an exact science and you’ve learnt a lot on this journey. So think about the following question: **What would you have liked to know before you commenced this KT plan?**
  - Nothing is too small to write down!
  - You can use this guide to capture information useful for a project handover.

Additional Notes

Use this area to jot down any additional notes for your telehealth KT plan e.g. technical data, costing/booking information, tips and tricks you want to remember.
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